Local Buddhist Monastic Agreements among the (Mūla)sarvāstivādins

MASANORI SHŌNO

University of Munich

masanori.shono@lrz.uni-muenchen.de

Recently, there have been an increasing number of studies on the Buddhist monastic community as a whole and on individual Buddhist monks and nuns in Vinaya literature. However, we do not know much about how a local Buddhist monastic community was administered. In order to consider just an aspect of the administration in a local monastic community, I will in this paper investigate descriptions of agreements (Skt $kriy\bar{a}k\bar{a}ra$ -) that local monastic communities or local Buddhist monks conclude in Vinaya texts belonging to the (Mūla)sarvāstivādins.

Vinaya literature principally regulates the action of the Buddhist monastic community as a whole and of individual Buddhist monks and nuns. In this paper I will investigate descriptions in Vinaya literature of agreements (Skt $kriy\bar{a}k\bar{a}ra$ -)¹ that local monastic communities or local Buddhist monks conclude. Although there were many Buddhist schools in India, I will use only the texts belonging to the (Mūla) sarvāstivādins,² with a view to obtaining a concrete picture of local monastic agreements in (Mūla) sarvāstivāda communities.

Keywords: (Mūla)sarvāstivāda Vinaya, *Vinayasūtra*, local monastic community, agreements (*kriyākāra*-)



^{1.} Gregory Schopen has already in part dealt with this term mainly based on a portion in the *Varṣāvastu* (Schopen 2002). In the Pāli Canon, on the other hand, the word *katikā*- corresponds to *kriyākāra*- (Schopen 2002, 362, cf. CPD s.v.). Furthermore, Pāli commentaries make use of the word *katikavatta*- also (See CPD s.v. and Furuyama 2002). For *katikāvata* in Sri Lanka, see Ratnapala 1971, Kitsudō 1986, 1989, and Schopen 2002, 362, cf. von Hinüber 1990, 127.

^{2.} For the unsettled issue of the denomination of the (Mūla)sarvāstivādins, see Enomoto 2000, 2001 (p. 35), 2004, 2009 (p. 18f., note 32), Skilling 2002 (pp. 374–376), Yao 2007, Wynne 2008, and Clarke 2016 (p. 177, note 38).

1. Observing local monastic agreements

First we will consider the following description³ in the Kauśāmbakavastu:⁴

saṃghena cāyam evaṃrūpaḥ kriyākāraḥ kṛto yaḥ paśyed varcaskumbhikāṃ riktāṃ tucchāṃ nirudakāṃ tenodakasya pūrayitvā (280v6) yathāsthāne sthā(payi)tavyā⁵ upadhivārikasya vārocayitavyaṃ varcasku mbhikā riktā tiṣṭhatīti • na ced ātmanā pūrayati nāpy upadhivārikasyārocayati • tasyānādaro bhavati • anādarāc ca taṃ vayaṃ pāyattikām⁶ āpattiṃ deśayiṣyāma iti⁷

And such an agreement as this was made by a (local monastic) community: 'He who would see that the pot for defecation is empty, void, and without water should fill (it) with water and put (it) in a proper place,⁸ or should report to a supervisor [one in charge of physical properties]⁹: "The pot for defecation is empty." Unless he fills (it) by himself, or reports to a supervisor, he has [shows] disrespect. And on account of disrespect we will make him confess a *Pāyattikā* [Expiation] offence¹⁰.'

Here, we have a local monastic community that comes to an agreement for which it is a $P\bar{a}yattik\bar{a}$ offence when a monk acts in a way that is not in accord with it. The agreement explains a monk's responsibilities in using the pot for defecation, and then says that, if a monk is negligent in his responsibilities, he shows disrespect, and on account of that disrespect he commits a $P\bar{a}yattik\bar{a}$ offence. As far as I know, however, only here in the $Kauś\bar{a}mbakavastu$ and in the $Naissargik\bar{a}$ $P\bar{a}yattik\bar{a}$ 4 are local agreements carrying $P\bar{a}yattik\bar{a}$ offences found. We will deal with the $Naissargik\bar{a}$ $P\bar{a}yattik\bar{a}$ 4 below, in section 2. Furthermore, important here is that there is no precept that prescribes this agreement itself in the $Pr\bar{a}timok$, as $\bar{a}t$. We will consider this issue in the next section (1.1).

- 3. The following symbols are used in the Sanskrit text in this paper: square brackets [] signify damaged <code>akṣaras</code> or uncertain readings, pointed brackets () omitted <code>akṣaras</code> without gap, double pointed brackets (()) omitted <code>akṣaras</code> written interlinearly, curly brackets {} superfluous <code>akṣaras</code>, the apostrophe 'denotes the <code>avagraha</code> not written in the MS, the asterisk * the <code>virāma</code>, H-Macron below <code>hupihvāmūlīya</code>, H-breve below <code>hupadhmānīya</code>, the circle oroom for the string-hole, and the middle-placed dot a punctuation mark in the MS.
- 4. The title of this *vastu* reads, '*k[au]śāmbakavastu*' on MS 285r10 (GMNAI 1, pp. 180, 272); cf. GMNAI 1, Bibliographical Survey, p. 27, where my explanation is provided, but it was changed without my knowledge after I confirmed the draft on 15 August, 2013.
- 5. For this emendation, see MS 281r2 (GBM (Fac.Ed.) 874.2 and GMNAI 1, p. 176).
- Cf. 'Beide Ligaturen [sc.-nt- and -tt-] sind in vielen Schriften und eben in der etwas jüngeren Proto-Śāradā der Prātimokṣa-Handschriften aus Gilgit nicht zu unterscheiden' (von Hinüber 1985, 64).
- 7. MS 280v5-6 (GBM (Fac.Ed.) 873.5-6 and GMNAI 1, p. 175 (cf. GilMs III 2.174.5-10)) ≈ bKa' 'gyur, 'Dul ba; D Ga (No. 1) 124b6-7, P Ńe (No. 1030) 120a7-8, S Ga (No. 1) 166b4-6.
- 8. The pot for defecation is portable and usually seems to be set outside an outhouse; cf. GilMs III 2.174.13 (MS 280v7): 'However, a monk of Vaiśālī, having seized a pot for defecation, entered an outhouse (vaiśālakas tu bhikṣur varcaskumbhikām ādāya varcaskuṭiṃ praviṣṭaḥ).'
- 9. For upadhivārika-, see BHSD s.v. upadhi-vāraka, regularly °vārika, Mvy (IF) 9004, Schopen 2004, Index of Subjects s.v. Provost/Monk-in-Charge-of-Physical-Properties, Silk 2008, 55, 85f., 103ff., 132. 141ff. and von Hinüber 2012.
- 10. For the name of this offence, see von Hinüber 1985, 63–66. Cf. also von Hinüber 1995, 12 with note 17 and von Hinüber 1999, 17 with note 36.



Subsequently, the *Kauśāmbakavastu* says that, after this agreement was concluded, a monk violated the agreement. However, the monk did not confess the offence and a dispute arose as to whether it was an offence or not. The dispute lasted for twelve years. And finally the monk who violated the agreement confessed the offence as follows: 'I myself have fallen into (the offence), it is not (the case) that (I) have not fallen into (the offence) ... (so 'ham āpanno nānāpannaḥ...)'¹¹. ¹²

Thus, the Kauśāmbakavastu attests that, when a Buddhist monk violates this agreement, he commits a $P\bar{a}yattik\bar{a}$ offence. In other words, Buddhist monks must observe agreements concluded by their local monastic communities.

1.1 The 'legal' basis for local monastic agreements

As stated above, in the $Pr\bar{a}timok$ sas $\bar{u}tra$ there is no precept that prescribes the agreement in the $Kauś\bar{a}mbakavastu$. Now, we will examine whether the agreement has some 'legal' basis or not. The agreement in the $Kauś\bar{a}mbakavastu$ says that, if a monk does not observe the right use of a pot for defecation, he shows disrespect, and he commits a $P\bar{a}yattik\bar{a}$ offence on account of that disrespect. When we direct our attention to the word 'anādara- (disrespect)', we realise that the word is used in the precept of $P\bar{a}yattik\bar{a}$ 78. This says: $an\bar{a}dar\bar{a}t$ $p\bar{a}yattik\bar{a}$ • $||^{13}$, 'On account of disrespect there is a $P\bar{a}yattik\bar{a}$ offence.' It thus prescribes a $P\bar{a}yattik\bar{a}$ offence by using the same word 'anādara-' as the $Kauś\bar{a}mbakavastu$ does.

We have a text, the *Vinayavibhanga*, which explains the *Prātimokṣasūtra*. The portion of *Pāyattikā* 78 in the *Vinayavibhanga* does not exist in Sanskrit, but only in Tibetan and Chinese. Thus, we will check the relevant explanation in the Tibetan *Vinayavibhanga*:

gus par mi byed na ltuń byed do || gus par mi byed na źes bya ba ni^{a)} gñis ka^{b)} la gus par mi byed na ste | dge 'dun la^{c)} daṅ |^{d)} gaṅ zag la'o || de la dge 'dun ni de bźin gśegs pa'i ñan thos kyi dge 'dun daṅ | dge 'dun gyi tha sñad pa'o || qaṅ zag ni mkhan po daṅ |^{d)} slob dpon dag go |^{e) 14}

a) S adds |. b) S omits ka. c) S omits la. d) S omits |. e) D |.

On account of disrespect (*anādarāt) there is a Pāyattikā offence [the precept of Pāyattikā 78]

^{14.} bKa' 'gyur, 'Dul ba; D Ña (No. 3) 18a6–7, P Te (No. 1032) 16b6–7, S Ja (No. 3) 178a6–7 ≈ Taishō 23 (No. 1442), 857a1–3.



^{11.} MS 284r4,6; v4 (GBM (Fac.Ed.) 880.4,6; 881.4 and GMNAI 1, pp. 179, 272 (cf. GilMs III 2.190.16; 191.3; 192.13)). The word nāpannaḥ on MS 284v10 (GBM (Fac.Ed.) 881.10 and GMNAI 1, pp. 179, 272) is miswritten for nā(nā)pannaḥ due to haplography.

^{12.} Cf. In Pāli the Kosambakkhandhaka (Vin I 337–360) refers to nothing definite about the cause of the dispute as to whether it was an offence or not, whereas the Kosambījātaka (No. 428) expressly states that the dispute arose over the use of water after defecating (Ja III 486.12–26). However, the way to use water is different between the Kosambījātaka and the Kauśāmbakavastu.

^{13.} MS [20]v3 (GBM (Fac.Ed.) 12.3 and GMNAI 1, p. 232 \approx PrMoSū (Mū/LCh), p. 9). Cf. also PrMoSū (Mū/Hu) 21B2. Incidentally, the precept of $P\bar{a}yattik\bar{a}$ 78 is missing in the manuscript which Banerjee utilized (PrMoSū (Mū/Ba), p. 43).

Cf. Pr $MoS\bar{u}$ (M \bar{u} /Tib.), p. 121 (P \bar{a} yattik \bar{a} 78) (\approx Taish \bar{o} 24 (No. 1454), 506a3): gus par mi byed na ltuń byed do \parallel .

'On account of disrespect' means: On account of disrespect for the two, that is, for a (local monastic) community and a person. Among them, a (local monastic) community is a (local monastic) community of disciples of the *Tathāgata* and a speech act (?) (*vyavahāraka-)¹⁵ of a (local monastic) community; a person is a preceptor (*upādhyāya-) and an instructor (*ācārya-).

Subsequently, the *Vinayavibhanga* to *Pāyattikā* 78 enumerates some cases:

dge sloṅ gia¹ dge 'dun gyis 'dug cig¹¹ ces bsgo ba na^c ¹ mi 'dug na ltuṅ byed du 'gyur ro \parallel ma 'dug cig¹¹ ces bsgo ba na^c ¹ 'dug na ltuṅ byed du 'gyur ro \parallel soṅ źig⁴¹ ces bsgo ba na^c ¹ mi 'gro na ltuṅ byed du 'gyur ro \parallel gnas mal loṅ źig⁴¹ ces bsgo ba na^c ¹ mi len na ltuṅ byed du 'gyur ro \parallel gnas mal ma len cig ces bsgo ba na^c ¹ mi len na ltuṅ byed du 'gyur ro \parallel gtsug lag khaṅ loṅ źig⁴¹ ces bsgo ba na^c ¹ mi len na ltuṅ byed du gyur ro \parallel gtsug lag khaṅ loṅ źig⁴¹ ces bsgo ba na^c ¹ mi len na ltuṅ byed du gyur ro \parallel gtsug lag khaṅ ma len cig ces bsgo ba na^c ¹ len na ltuṅ byed du 'gyur ro \parallel smros śig ces bsgo ba na^c ¹ mi smra na ltuṅ byed du 'gyur ro \parallel ma¹¹ smra źig⁴¹ ces bsgo ba na^c ¹ smra na ltuṅ byed du 'gyur ro \parallel ... dge 'dun gyi tha sñad pas bsgo ba la yaṅ de bźin du sbyar ro \parallel ¹¹6

a) DP omit gi. b) DP sig. c) S adds |. d) DP sig. e) P |. f) P mi.

When a (local monastic) community of monks instructs (monks) 'Stay!' (but a monk) does not stay, (then it) becomes a Pāyattikā. When (a local monastic community of monks) instructs (monks) 'Do not stay!' (but a monk) stays, (then it) becomes a Pāyattikā. When (a local monastic community of monks) instructs (monks) 'Go!' (but a monk) does not go, (then it) becomes a Pāyattikā. When (a local monastic community of monks) instructs (monks) 'Do not go!' (but a monk) goes, (then it) becomes a Pāyattikā. When (a local monastic community of monks) instructs (monks) 'Accept a bed and seat!' (but a monk) does not accept (them), (then it) becomes a Pāyattikā. When (a local monastic community of monks) instructs (monks) 'Do not accept a bed and seat!' (but a monk) accepts (them), (then it) becomes a Pāyattikā. When (a local monastic community of monks) instructs (monks) 'Accept a cell (*vihāra)!' (but a monk) does not accept (it), (then it) becomes a Pāyattikā. When (a local monastic community of monks) instructs (monks) 'Do not accept a cell!' (but a monk) accepts (it), (then it) becomes a *Pāyattikā*. When (a local monastic community of monks) instructs (monks) 'Speak!' (but a monk) does not speak, (then it) becomes a Pāvattikā. When (a local monastic community of monks) instructs (monks) 'Do not speak!' (but a monk) speaks, (then it) becomes a *Pāyattikā*. ... In that way, (the same) is also to be applied to a case directed by a speech act of a (local monastic) community.¹⁷

From the above description in the *Vinayavibhanga*, it turns out that, when a monk does not observe an instruction from a local monastic community or a speech

When a preceptor orders (pupils) 'Stay!' (but a pupil) does not stay, (then it) becomes a duṣkṛtā. ... In that way, (the same) is to be applied to a case ordered by an instructor.



^{15.} The Tibetan word *tha sñad pa* corresponds to the Sanskrit *vyavahāraka*- (VinSū MS 32r2, below cited, and Negi s.v. *tha sñad pa*). The Chinese translation does not have the word corresponding to *tha sñad pa* in the relevant portion.

^{16.} D Ña 18b1-4, P Te 17a1-4, S Ja 178b2-7 ≈ Taishō 23, 857a5-9.

^{17.} Cf. for disrespect for a person, see D Ña 18b4-7, P Te 17a4-7, S Ja 178b7-179a4 ≈ Taishō 23, 857a9-11:

dge slon mkhan pos 'dug cig a ' ces bsgo ba na b ' mi 'dug na ñes byas su 'gyur ro \parallel ... slob dpon rnams kyis bsgo ba la yan de bźin du sbyar ro \parallel a) DP śiq. b) S adds \mid .

act of a local monastic community, then on account of his disrespect for the local monastic community or the speech act of the local monastic community, he commits a $P\bar{a}yattik\bar{a}$ offence.

In addition, we have another Vinaya text also in Sanskrit, the *Vinayasūtra*, against which we can check the above description in the *Vinayavibhanga*. It says as follows:

sthānagamanaśayanāsanavihāragrahaṇabhāṣatadviparyayāder upanītasyārthasyānādarād¹³ ... vyati(32r2)krāntau ||

bhikṣusaṃghena maulaṃ || ...

mukham¹⁹ saṃghasya tadvyavahārakaḥ ||²⁰

In transgressing on account of disrespect regarding the instructed matter of staying, going, accepting a bed, seat and cell, speaking and vice versa [sc. not-staying and so forth], etc., (it is a transgression).

(In transgressing on account of disrespect regarding the matter instructed) by a (local monastic) community of monks, it is the root (transgression prescribed in $P\bar{a}yattik\bar{a}$ 78)....²¹

The 'mouth' of a (local monastic) community is its speech act.²²

In consideration for the above description of *Pāyattikā* 78 in the *Prātimokṣasūtra*, the *Vinayavibhaṅga* and the *Vinayasūtra*, *Pāyattikā* 78 could be considered to be the 'legal' basis for the agreement in the *Kauśāmbakavastu* concluded by the local monastic community.

In the *Kauśāmbakavastu* it is regarded as an important factor that one shows disrespect regarding the matter instructed by the local monastic community, and that on account of disrespect one commits a *Pāyattikā* offence. Thus, with the help of *Pāyattikā* 78, the agreement in the *Kauśāmbakavastu* is presumably provided with the offence of *Pāyattikā*. In other words, the local monastic community in Kauśāmbī presumably applies *Pāyattikā* 78 to monks in Kauśāmbī.

^{&#}x27;The speech act of a (local monastic) community is its mouth' means: The speech act of a (local monastic) community is the mouth of a (local monastic) community, and therefore a thing that is ordered by a (local monastic) community is an order of a (local monastic) community and a speech act of a (local monastic) community.



^{18.} MS reads upanītasyārthāsyā°. Cf. Tib. bsgo ba'i don la.

^{19.} MS reads sukham. This emendation is based on Tib. dge 'dun gyi tha sñad pa ni de'i kha yin no.

^{20.} VinSū MS 32r1-2 (= VinSū (Re-ed) 68.12-14) ≈ bsTan 'gyur, 'Dul ba'i 'grel pa, D Wu (No. 4117) 46b4-6, P Zu (No. 5619) 52a5-7.

^{21.} Cf. for disrespect for a person, see VinSū (Re-ed) 68.15 (≈ D Wu 46b5, P Zu 52a7): ācāryopādhyāyaiḥ duṣkrtaṃ ||

⁽In transgressing on account of disrespect regarding the matter instructed) by preceptors and instructors, it is a *duskṛta* (transgression).

^{22.} One of the commentaries on the *Vinayasūtra*, *Vinayasūtravṛttyabhidhānasvavyākhyāna* or *'Dul ba'i mdo'i 'grel pa mnon par brjod pa ran gi rnam par bśad pa*, explains the last sūtra as follows (bsTan 'gyur, 'Dul ba/'Dul ba'i 'grel pa, D Źu (No. 4119) 270a7-b1, P 'U (No. 5621) 317b8-318a1):

dge 'dun gyi tha sñad pa ni de'i kha yin no źes bya ba ni dge 'dun gyi tha sñad pa ni dge 'dun gyi kha yin te | de bas na dge 'dun ñid kyis^{a)} bsgo ba gan yin pa de ni dge 'dun gyi bsgo ba yin te | dge 'dun gyi tha sñad pa źes bya ba'i don to || a) P kyi.

2. Local monastic agreements also apply to visiting monks

Next, we will examine the description of $Naissargik\bar{a}$ $P\bar{a}yattik\bar{a}$ 4^{23} in the Vinayavibhanga, which presents important information on $kriy\bar{a}k\bar{a}ra$ - (agreement). The Buddha and Upasena appear in this portion. Upasena comes from a different region. He does not know the agreement of the local monastic community concerned, and goes to see the Buddha. Then the following is said:

ñe sde khyod dge 'dun gyi khrims su bya ba mi srun nam |a) btsun pa bdag gis dge 'dun gyi khrims ni gan lags | khrims su bayi ba ni gan lags ma 'tshal to || ñe sde 'di na nas dge slon rnams la 'di skad ces^{b)} dge slon dag na zla ba gsum po 'di la nan du yan dag 'jog bźed kyis | na'i spyan snar dge slon bsod sñoms stobs pa gcig^{c)} dan | 'di ñid kyi gso sbyon bco^{d)} lna pa'i tshe ma gtogs par^{b)} dge slon gan gis kyan 'on bar^{e)} mi bya'o źes bka' stsal pa dan | dge slon gi dge 'dun gyis kyan tshe dan ldan pa dag dbyar gyi nan dag tu dge slon bsod sñoms stobs pa gcig^{c)} dan | 'di ñid kyi gso sbyon bco^{d)} lna pa'i tshe ma gtogs par^{b)} bdag cag las gan gis kyan bcom ldan 'das la ltar⁶ 'gro bar mi bya ste | dbyar gyi nan dag tu dge slon bsod sñoms stobs pa qciq^{c)} dan | 'di ñid kyi qso sbyon bco^{d)} lna pa'i tshe ma qtoqs par^{b)} bdaq caq las qan bcom ldan 'das la ltar^{f)} 'gro ba de bdag cag gis ltun byed kyi ltun ba byed du gźug go źes khrims su bya ba bcas so || btsun pa bdag ni glo bur du mchis pa lags te | don de ni dge slon gñug mar gnas pa rnams kyis bsruń bar bąyi ba lags so || ñe sde dge sloń glo bur du^{g)} 'ońs pa dań | gñug mar gnas pas kyan dge 'dun gyi khrims su bya ba bsrun bar bya ba kho na yin no || ñe sde 'di ltar nas dge slon gloh) bur du lhags pa rnams kyi kun tuⁱ⁾ spyod pa'i chos dag bca' bar bya ste | dge slon glo bur du 'ons pas gtsug lag khan du źugs nas^{b)} dge slon dag la gnas 'di na khrims su bya ba ji lta bu yod ces dri⁾ bar bya'o || gal te 'dri na de lta na legs | gal te mi 'dri na 'gal tshabs can du 'gyur ro \parallel^{24}

- a) Pomits |. b) Sadds |. c) Pciq. d) DPbcwa. e) Pbas. f) Sbltar.
- g) S omits du. h) S blo. i) DP du. j) P bri.

'O *Upasena, do you not observe the agreement (*khrims su bya ba*) of the (local monastic) community?' 'Reverend One, I did not know what the rule²⁵ of the (local monastic) community was, nor what the agreement (*khrims su bgyi ba*) (of the local monastic community) was.' 'O *Upasena, here I said to monks as follows: "O monks, because I would like to enter retreat for these three months (of the rainy season), no monk should come into my presence except the monk with the authority to (bring) alms or on the *Poṣadha (Uposatha) day, the 15th." And then the (local monastic) community of monks likewise concluded the agreement (*khrims su bya ba*): "Venerable ones, no monk among us should go to see the Blessed One during the rainy season except the

- 23. This portion belongs to the introductory story in *Naissargikā Pāyattikā* 5 in the Chinese translation, while the Tibetan translations of the *Vinayavibhaṅga* place it in *Naissargikā Pāyattikā* 4. Moreover, the *Vinayasūtra* also treats the relevant portion under *Naissargikā Pāyattikā* 4. This portion is here indicated under *Naissargikā Pāyattikā* 4, for Tibetan translations of the *Vinayavibhaṅga* and Sanskrit text of the *Vinayasūtra* are utilized in this paper.
- 24. D Cha 86b6-87a5, P Je 81a7-b5, S Cha 12b2-13a4 ≈ Taishō 23, 723a1-16. Sanskrit fragments of Naissargikā Pāyattikā 4 and 5 in the Vinayavibhaṅga have been discovered (Hartmann and Wille 2014, 145-149), and I have been preparing an edition of the fragments of the Vinayavibhaṅga. Regrettably, however, fragments relevant to this portion have not yet been identified.
- 25. The word *khrims* is used here. It is uncertain what the word exactly means and what difference there is between *khrims* and *khrims su bya ba (khrims su bgyi ba)*. According to Negi (Negi s.v. *khrims*), however, *kriyākāra* is one of the Sanskrit words corresponding to *khrims*. Cf. also the correspondence of *khrims su bcas pa* to *kriyākāraḥ kṛtaḥ* in note 32 below.



monk with the authority to (bring) alms or on the *Poṣadha day, 15th. We are going to make any one of us who goes to see the Blessed One during the rainy season, other than the monk with the authority to (bring) alms or on the *Poṣadha day, 15th, deal with [atone for] a *Pāyattikā offence": 26 'Reverend One, I am a visiting (*āgantuka-)27 (monk). The matter is to be observed by resident (*naivāsika-)28 monks.' 'O *Upasena, an agreement (khrims su bya ba) of the (local monastic) community is to be exactly observed by both a visiting monk and a resident monk. O *Upasena, I will in this way establish rules for the proper behaviour of visiting monks: a visiting monk, having entered a monastery, should ask monks: "What kind of agreement (khrims su bya ba) is there in this residence (*āvāsa)29?" If (he) asks (them), that is good. If (he) does not ask (them), (he) becomes guilty of a violation.'

tatra bhagavān bhikṣūn āmantrayate sma: icchāmy ahaṃ bhikṣavaḥ imām traimāsīm pratisaṃlātum; na me kenacit (sic) bhikṣuṇā upasaṅkramitavyam, sthāpayitvā piṇḍapātanirhārakam; tad eva poṣadham iti; bhikṣusaṅghena kriyākāraḥ kṛtaḥ na kenacid asmākam imām traimāsīm bhagavantam darśanāyopasaṅkramitavyaṃ, sthāpayitvā piṇḍapātanirhārakam; tad eva poṣadham iti.³²

- 26. For this occurrence in the Buddha's explanation, see D Cha 85b2–5, P Je 80a4–7, S Cha 10b2–7 \approx Taishō 23, 722b24–c1.
- 27. For a visiting monk, see Mvy (IF) 6904, 8686, Hu-von Hinüber 1994, 191–192, Kieffer-Pülz 1992, 366, and Silk 2008, 150–151.
- 28. For a resident monk, see Hu-von Hinüber 1994, 191–192 and Kieffer-Pülz 1992, 366.
- 29. For a residence, see Hu-von Hinüber 1994, 189-190 and Kieffer-Pülz 1992, 365.
- 30. Cf. Negi, s.v. khrims su bca' ba.
- 31. The settings are different between the portions of Naissargikā Pāyattikā 4 and of the Saṅghabhedavastu: *Śrāvastī/mÑan yod is referred to as the setting in Naissargikā Pāyattikā 4, while the Saṅghabhedavastu mentions Rājagṛha/Gyal po'i khab.
- 32. SBhV II 204.5–11. Cf. bKa' 'gyur, 'Dul ba; D Na (No. 1) 250b1–3, P Ce (No. 1030) 231b2–3, S Na (No. 1) 342a2–5 ≈ Taishō 24 (No. 1450), 202c6–8:
 - de nas^{a)} bcom ldan 'das kyis^{b)} dge sloṅ rnams la bka'^{c)} stsal pa | dge sloṅ dag ṅa^{d)} dbyar gyi zla ba gsum po 'di la naṅ du yaṅ dag par^{e)} 'jog par bźed kyis | bsod^{f)} sñoms stobs pa daṅ |^{E)} gso sbyoṅ de ñid kyi tshe ma gtogs^{h)} par^{b)} dge sloṅ^{l)} su yaṅ ṅa' i^{J)} spyan sṅar ma ^{(k'}oṅ źig^{k)} | dge sloṅ gi dge 'dun gyis kyaṅ khrims su bcas pa | tshe daṅ ldan pa dag dbyar gyi zla ba gsum po 'di la bsod^{f)} sñoms stobs pa daṅ |^{E)} gso sbyoṅ de ñid kyi tshe ma gtogs par^{b)} bcom ldan 'das la blta^{l)} ba' i phyir bdag cag su yaṅ 'gro bar mi bya' o źes bcas so ||
 - a) S na. b) S adds |. c) S 'ka'. d) P da. e) S omits par. f) P gsod. g) S omits |.
 - h) P btogs. i) S adds dag. j) P de'i. k) D'ons śig, P'on śig. l) P lta.

The Tibetan translation of this portion in the *Saṅghabhedavastu*, however, uses *khrims su bcas pa*, corresponding to *kriyākāraḥ kṛtaḥ*. Furthermore, the agreement here is not provided with the offence of *Pāyattikā*.

Cf. the word *kriyākāra*- is used also in the story of Upasena belonging to the Sarvāstivādins: Vin-Vibh (Sa), *Naiḥsargika-Pātayantika-Dharma* 3.5,6,8.

Cf. for the story of Upasena in Vinaya texts belonging to various schools, see Yamagiwa 2002.

Incidentally, there is a parallel passage also in the Za-ahan-jing 481 (Taishō 2 (No. 99), 122b14–17, cf. SN V 12.10–15 ≈ Vin III 230.3–8 (Vin III 230.8–12 describes katikā)) which, however, has no



Then the Blessed One addressed the monks: 'O monks, I want to go on retreat for these three months. No monk should approach me except the monk bringing alms or on the Poṣadha day.' The (local monastic) community of monks concluded an agreement: 'No monk among us should go to see the Blessed One during these three months except the monk bringing alms or on the Poṣadha day.'

Moreover, the *Vinayasūtra* provides the following description of the above portion of *Naissarqikā Pāyattikā* 4:

```
sāṃghikaṃ kriyākāram anurakṣet* || anis[s]araṇam atrāgantukatvaṃ (\(\)) sadasa(t)tvarūpanirjñānārtham asya tena praśnah ||33
```

(A monk) should observe an agreement ($kriy\bar{a}k\bar{a}ra$ -) of the (local monastic) community.

The state of visiting monk constitutes no exception to this [the above sūtra].

(It is obligatory) for him [a visiting monk] to ask this [an agreement of the local monastic community] in order to realise the existence, non-existence, or a form (of an agreement of the local monastic community).

Furthermore, there is an attested example where the Tibetan term khrims su by a bacorresponds to the Sanskrit term $kriy\bar{a}k\bar{a}ra$ - in the $Vinayas\bar{u}tra$; see note 38.

Thus, it would seem to be safe to assume that the Tibetan term khrims su bya ba (khrims su bgyi ba) in the description of $Naissargik\bar{a}$ $P\bar{a}yattik\bar{a}$ 4 corresponds to the Sanskrit $kriy\bar{a}k\bar{a}ra$.

If the above argument is sound and valid, the description of Naissargikā Pāyattikā 4 is important: agreements of the local monastic community must be observed not only by resident monks, but also by visiting monks. For that reason, visiting monks must ask monks in a particular monastery about agreements of the local monastic community at the time they arrive at the monastery. If they do not ask them about agreements, they will be guilty of an offense. That is, the excuse that visiting monks do not know the agreements of the local monastic community is invalid. Furthermore, agreements concluded by a local monastic community turn out to have a limited scope of validity, that is, they are valid only within the relevant residence. It is noted that, although the rule about the way to apply agreements was established by the Buddha, specific agreements themselves are concluded by the local monastic community concerned.

^{35.} See also section 3 and Schopen 2002.



word corresponding to *kriyākāra-*. Sanskrit fragements (MS 2627/1 + PCV F 22.2) corresponding to the *Za-ahan-jing* 481 have recently been identified (Chung, forthcoming).

^{33.} VinSū MS 14v5 (≈ VinSū (Re-ed) 33.47-49) ≈ D Wu 24a5, P Zu 28a1-2. Cf. Vinayasūtrarttyabhidhānasvavyākhyāna (D 148a7-b2, P 173b7-174a1).

^{34.} However, the Buddha made a partial alteration to the agreement in Naissargikā Pāyattikā 4: the agreement is not applicable to monks who live in the wilderness (*āraṇyaka-), on alms-food (*piṇḍapātika-), etc. (D Cha 87a5-b1, P Je 81b5-7, S Cha 13a4-7 ≈ Taishō 23, 723a16-24, cf. VinSū (Re-ed) 34.2, Vinayasūtravṛttyabhidhānasvavyākhyāna (D 148b3-5, P 174a3-4). Cf. also Mvy (IF) 1131-1142 and BHSD s.v. dhūta-guṇa).

3. Announcing local monastic agreements before monks enter the rains retreat

Now we will look at the description in the *Varṣāvastu* concerning the time before monks enter the rains retreat:

tataḥ paścāt kriyākāra ārocayitavyaḥ. śṛṇotu bhadantās saṃghaḥ. asminn āvāse ayaṃ cāyaṃ ca kriyākāraḥ. yo yuṣmākam utsahate anena cānena ca kriyākāreṇa®) varṣā upagantuṃ, sa śalākām gṛhṇātu. 36 a) MS reads krayākārena.

Thereafter, an agreement is to be announced: 'Venerable ones, the (local monastic) community must listen, please! The agreement is this and that in this residence. Who among you is able to enter the rains retreat with this and that agreement, should take a stick (for the counting of participants in the retreat)³⁷.' ³⁸

As Gregory Schopen has already pointed out,³⁹ it turns out from this description that, before monks enter the rains retreat, the agreement ($kriy\bar{a}k\bar{a}ra$ -) in the relevant residence should be announced and monks who can observe the agreement spend the rains retreat in the relevant residence.

4. Abrogation by the Buddha of local monastic agreements

Next, we will check the description of *kriyākāras* that are abrogated by the Buddha. In the *Pravāraṇāvastu* of the *Vinayavastu*, the *Pravāraṇā* ceremony is introduced with this story:

de'i tshe dge slon rab tu man po dag ljons śig tu khrims su bca' ba 'di lta bu dag byas nas dbyar gnas par dam bcas te | tshe dan ldan pa dag bdag cag gi nan na dge slon gan gis kyan dbyar gyi nan logs su dge slon la tshul khrims ñams śe' am | lta ba ñams śe' am | spyod pa ñams śe' am | 'tsho ba ñams śes glen bar mi bya dran par mi bya' o || ... gcig la gcig mi smra bar kha rog ste' gro bar bya' o źes de lta bu'i khrims su bca' ba dag byas nas ljons su dbyar gnas par dam bcas so || ...

skyes bu gti mug dag 'di lta ste mi smra ba ni mu stegs can gyi rgyal mtshan yin no \parallel de lta bas na dge slon gis mi smra bar dam bca' bar mi bya'o \parallel dge slon gis mi smra bar dam 'cha' na 'gal tshabs can du 'gyur ro \parallel^{40}

At that time very many monks entered the rains retreat in a certain region, having concluded such agreements (*khrims su bca' ba*) 41 (as these): 'Venerable ones, no monk among us should accuse or remind a monk during the rainy season due to a falling away from (good moral) habits, a falling away from (right) view, a falling away

- 36. Vars (Sh) § 1.2.5.3.
- 37. For śalākā-, see Durt 1974, Durt 1979 and Schopen 2002, 360–361 with note 10.
- 38. The Vinayasūtra has a similar description (VārṣVinSū (Sh), sūtra 12):
 āyañ cāyaṃ cāsminn āvase kriyākāro. yo yuṣmākam³) utsahate tena kriyākāreṇāsminn āvāse varṣā vastuṃ, sa śalākāṃ gṛḥṇātuʰ). a) MS reads yusmākam. b) MS reads grihṇātu. See Varṣ (Sh), Introduction § 2.1.4 (p. 12).
 - ≈ gnas 'di na khrims su bya ba 'di daṅ 'di yod de | khyed cag las gaṅ khrims su bya ba 'di daṅ 'dis gnas par spro ba des ni tshul śiṅ loṅ źią.
- 39. See Schopen 2002, especially p. 361.
- 40. Pravār (Ch) §§ 1.1.1-1.2.3.
- 41. In Pravār (Ch) § 8.1 kṛyākāra- is correspondent to khrims su bca' ba. Sometimes ṛ is used instead of ri; cf. Pravār (Ch), Einleitung § 7.1.3.1.6 (p. 125) and Varş (Sh), Introduction § 1.1.4 (p. 3).



from (good) behaviour, or a falling away from (right) livelihood. ... (We) should leave silently without speaking with each other'. After having concluded such agreements (khrims su bca' ba), (they) entered the rains retreat in the region. ...

(The Awakened One said:) 'Foolish men, it is the sign of an adherent of a religion other than Buddhism to not speak in this way. Thus, a monk should not spend (the rains retreat) without speaking. If a monk spends (the rains retreat) without speaking, (he) becomes guilty of a violation.'

The agreements here concluded by monks are abrogated by the Buddha. Thus, we see that what is concluded as an agreement is not always valid. 42

In addition, the Buddha partially alters concluded agreements in the above-cited Naissargikā $P\bar{a}yattik\bar{a}$ 4^{43} and the Kṣudrakavastu. 44

Conclusions

To sum up, Buddhist monks must observe agreements established in specific residences. Monastic agreements are concluded mainly by local monastic communities⁴⁵ and are valid only within the particular residence. Agreements can be provided with the offence of *Pāyattikā* if a monk goes against them.⁴⁶ Agreements in a particular residence must be observed not only by resident monks, but also by visiting monks. For that reason, visiting monks must ask monks in a particular monastery about agreements in the relevant residence at the time they arrive at the monastery. Furthermore, before monks enter the rains retreat, the agreement(s) in force in the particular residence should be announced and thereafter monks spend the rains retreat while observing the agreement(s). However, even after an agreement is concluded, it can in some cases be altered or abrogated by the Buddha, if it is inappropriate. That is, what is concluded as an agreement is not necessarily valid. Finally, although the validity of agreements is restricted to particular localities, ⁴⁷

- 42. Cf. it is not permitted that $kriy\bar{a}k\bar{a}ras$ leading to injury are concluded (VinSū (Re-ed) 11.11 and Bapat/Gokhale 42.13–14).
 - Cf. in Pāli literature also the Buddha abrogates the $katik\bar{a}s$ on Vin I 153^{6-23} and Vin III 104^{21-27} . Cf. also Furuyama 2002, 61.
- 43. See note 34.
- 44. bKa' 'gyur, 'Dul ba; D Da (No. 6) 174a1−7, P Ne (No. 1035) 168a7−b5, S Tha (No. 6) 259b2−260a5 ≈ Taishō 24 (No. 1451), 370c24−371a8. See Schopen 1996, 575−576 = 2004, 341−342.
- 45. In addition, *kriyākāra*s can be concluded not only between monks, but also between laymen. That is, there are secular *kriyākāra*s as well as monastic *kriyākāra*s: e.g. GBM (Fac.Ed.) 878.5f. and GMNAI 1, p. 178 (*Kauśāmbakavastu*) (GilMs III 2.186.18–187.2; D Ga 129b5–7, P Ne 125a2–4, Ga 173b5–7), GilMs III 1.224.18–225.2, 244.16–19, 246.4–6, 282.9–12, *etc.* Cf. also BHSD s.v. *kriyākāra*, and Schopen 2002, 381, note 4.
- 46. The 'legal' basis for the agreement in the <code>Kauśāmbakavastu</code> is presumably <code>Pāyattikā</code> 78. It is, however, uncertain whether, in the case that all <code>kriyākāras</code> are violated, a <code>Pāyattikā</code> offence is inflicted on monks violating <code>kriyākāras</code>. Cf. we have two portions describing the similar content of the <code>kriyākāra-</code>, which is not prescribed in the <code>Prātimokṣasūtra</code>. One is provided with the offence of <code>Pāyattikā</code> without mentioning <code>anādara-</code> (the passage in <code>Naissargikā Pāyattikā 4</code> in the above section 2), and the other does not refer to anything about the offence of <code>Pāyattikā</code> (the passage in <code>SBhV</code> in the above section 2).
- 47. Cf. some regulations issued by the Buddha are, however, exceptionally limited to a special region, e.g. MS 87r5 (GBM (Fac.Ed.) 756.5 and GMNAI 1, p. 42 (Carmavastu, cf. GilMs III 4.189.14f.)



agreements are important in considering the enlargement of Buddhist 'legislation.'

Acknowledgements

This paper is an enlarged version of Shōno 2009 and based on my presentation at the 16th World Sanskrit Conference in Bangkok on 27 June, 2015. I am grateful to Nathan McGovern (University of Wisconsin-Whitewater) and Shayne Clarke (McMaster University) for having corrected my English.

Abbreviations

BHSD Franklin Edgerton. 1953. Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit Grammar and

Dictionary, Volume II: Dictionary. New Haven, CT: Yale University

Press.

Bapat/Gokhale Bapat, P. V., and V. V. Gokhale. 1982. *Vinaya-sūtra* and *Auto-Commentary*

on the Same by Guṇaprabha: Chapter I — Pravrajyā-vastu. Tibetan Sanskrit Works Series, 22. Patna: Kashi Prasad Jayaswal Research

Institute.

CPD V. Trenckner et al., A Critical Pāli Dictionary, Copenhagen 1924-.

D sDe dge (block print)

GBM (Fac.Ed.) Gilgit Buddhist Manuscripts, Revised and Enlarged Compact Facsimile

Edition. 1995. Edited by Raghu Vira and Lokesh Chandra, Bibliotheca

Indo-Buddhica, 150-152. Delhi: Sri Satguru.

GilMs Gilqit Manuscripts. Edited by Nalinaksha Dutt, Volume III.1, Delhi ²1984

[1^{ed.}: Srinagar 1947]; Volume III.2, Delhi ²1984 [1^{ed.}: Srinagar 1942];

Volume III.4, Delhi ²1984 [1^{ed.}: Calcutta 1950].

GMNAI 1 Vinaya Texts. Edited by Shayne Clarke, New Delhi/Tokyo 2014 (Gilgit

Manuscripts in the National Archives of India, Facsimile Edition, 1).

Ja The Jātaka Together with Its Commentary Being Tales of the Anterior

Births of Gotama Buddha. 1877–1897. Edited by V. Fausbøll, 6 volumes,

London.

MS Manuscript

Mvy (IF) A New Critical Edition of the Mahāvyutpatti: Sanskrit-Tibetan-Mongolian

Dictionary of Buddhist Terminology 新訂翻訳名義大集. 1989. Edited

by Yumiko Ishihama and Yōichi Fukuda. Tokyo.

Negi Bod skad dań legs sbya gyi tshiq mdzod chen mo: Tibetan-Sanskrit

Dictionary. 1993–2005. Edited by J. S. Negi, 16 volumes. Sarnath.

P Peking (block print)

The Blessed One said: 'Therefore, I allow in border districts ordination by a group with, as fifth, an expert on discipline ...'



[≈] D Ka (No. 1) 266a4–5, P Khe (No. 1030) 250a1–2, S Ka (No. 1) 380b2–3; Taishō 23 (No. 1447) 1053a2f.):

bhagavān āha. tasmād anujānāmi pratyantimeṣu janapadeṣu vinayadhara{ṃ}paṃcamena gaṇenopasaṇ[p]at ...

Pravār (Ch) Jin-il Chung, Die Pravāraṇā in den kanonischen Vinaya-Texten der

Mūlasarvāstivādin und der Sarvāstivādin. 1998. Sanskrit-Wörterbuch der buddhistischen Texte aus den Turfan-Funden, Beiheft 7.

Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.

 $PrMoS\bar{u} \ (M\bar{u}/Ba) \quad \ \ Anukul \ Chandra \ Banerjee. \ 1977. \ Two \ Buddhist \ Vinaya \ Texts \ in \ Sanskrit:$

Prātimokṣa Sūtra and Bhikṣukarmavākya. Calcutta: The World Press.

PrMoSū (Mū/Hu) Haiyan Hu-von Hinüber, Das Bhikşu-Prātimokṣasūtra der

Mūlasarvāstivādins anhand der Sanskrit-Handschriften aus Tibet und Gilgit sowie unter Berücksichtigung der tibetischen und chinesischen Übersetzungen, 2003 [https://www.freidok.uni-freiburg.de/

data/9535].

PrMoSū (Mū/LCh) Lokesh Chandra. 1960. 'Unpublished Gilgit Fragment of the

Prātimokṣa-Sūtra'. Wiener Zeitschrift für die Kunde Süd- und Ostasiens 4:

1-13.

PrMoSū (Mū/Tib.) Satis Chandra Vidyabhusana. 1915. 'So-sor-thar-pa; or, a Code of

Buddhist Monastic Laws: Being the Tibetan Version of Prātimokṣa of the Mūla-sarvāstivāda School'. *Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal*,

new series 11: 29-139.

PW Otto Böhtlingk and Rudolph Roth. 1855–1875. Sanskrit-Wörterbuch, 7

volumes. St. Petersburg: Kaiserl. Akad. der Wiss.

S sTog palace (manuscript)

SBhV The Gilgit Manuscript of the Sanghabhedavastu: Being the 17th and Last

Section of the Vinaya of the Mūlasarvāstivādin. 1977–1978. Edited by Raniero Gnoli. Rome: Istituto italiano per il medio ed estremo oriente.

SN The Saṃyutta-Nikāya of the Sutta-Piṭaka. 1884–1898. Edited by M. Léon

Feer, 5 volumes. London: Pali text society.

Taishō Taishō Shinshū Daizōkyō 大正新脩大藏經. 1924–1932. Edited by

Junjirō Takakusu and Kaigyoku Watanabe, 100 Volumes.

Varṣ (Sh) and Masanori Shōno. 2010. 'A Re-edited Text of the *Varṣāvastu* in the VārṣVinSū (Sh) *Vinayavastu* and a Tentative Re-edited Text of the *Vārṣikavastu* in the

Vinayasūtra'. Acta Tibetica et Buddhica 3: 1–128.

Vin The Vinaya Piṭakaṃ: One of the Principal Buddhist Holy Scriptures in the

Pāli Language. 1879–1883. Edited by Hermann Oldenberg, 5 Volumes.

London: Williams.

VinSū MS The Facsimile Edition of a Collection of Sanskrit Palm-leaf Manuscripts

in Tibetan dBu med Script. 2001. Edited by Study Group of Sanskrit

Manuscripts in Tibetan dBu med Script. Tokyo.

VinSū (Re-ed) The Digital Data of Preliminary Transliteration of the Vinayasūtra.

2001. Edited by Study Group of Sanskrit Manuscripts in Tibetan dBu med Script. Taisho University [http://www.tais.ac.jp/wp/wp-con-

tent/uploads/2015/07/vinayasutra_trlt.pdf].

VinVibh (Sa) Valentina Rosen. 1959. Der Vinayavibhanga zum Bhikṣuprātimokṣa

der Sarvāstivādins: Sanskritfragmente nebst einer Analyse der chinesischen Übersetzung. Sanskrittexte aus den Turfanfunden, II. Berlin:

Akademie-Verlag.

Bibliography

- Chung, Jin-il. Forthcoming. 'A Sanskrit Fragment Corresponding to Sūtra 481 of the Za-ahan-jing'. Hokkaido Journal of Indian Philosophy and Buddhism 3
- Clarke, S. 2016. 'The 'Dul bar byed pa (*Vinītaka*) Case-Law Section of the Mūlasarvāstivādin *Uttaragrantha*: Sources for Guṇaprabha's *Vinayasūtra* and Indian Buddhist Attitudes towards Sex and Sexuality'. *Journal of the International College for Postgraduate Buddhist Studies* 20: 49–196.
- Durt, Hubert. 1974. 'The Counting Stick (Śalākā) and the Majority/Minority Rule in the Buddhist Community'. *Journal of Indian and Buddhist Studies (Indogaku Bukkyōgaku Kenkyū*) 23(1): 470–464. https://doi.org/10.4259/ibk.23.470
- ———. 1979. 'Chū'. In 法寳義林 Hōbōgirin: Dictionnaire encyclopédique du bouddhisme d'après les sources chinoises et japonaises, Cinquiéme fascicule, ed. Sylvain Lévi and J. Takakusu, 431–456. Paris/Tokyo: Adrien-Maisonneuve/Maison Franco-Japonaise.
- Enomoto, Fumio. 2000. "'Mūlasarvāstivādin" and "Sarvāstivādin". In *Vividharatnakaraṇḍaka:*Festgabe für Adelheid Mette, ed. Christine Chojnacki, Jens-Uwe Hartmann and Volker M.
 Tschannerl, 239–250. Indica et Tibetica, 37. Swisttal-Odendorf: Indica et Tibetica Verlag.
- . 2001. 'Zōagon-kyō no yakushutsu to genten no yurai (On the Original Text and Translation of the Saṃyuktāgama)'. In Bukkyō bunka no kityō to tenkai, vol. 1, 31–41. Tokyo: Sankibō Busshorin.
- ———. 2004. "Konpon setsuissaiu bu" no tōjyō (Emergence of "Mūlasarvāstivādin")'. In Studies on Indian Philosophy and Buddhist Thoughts: Volume in Honor of Professor Esho Mikogami, 651–677. Kyoto: Nagatabunsyōdō.
- ———. 2009. "'Shishōtai" no gen'i to indo bukkyō niokeru "sei"' (The Original Meaning of catvāry āryasatyāni and ārya in Indian Buddhism)', Hokkaido Journal of Indological and Buddhist Studies 24: 1–19.
- Furuyama, Ken'ichi. 2002. 'Attakatā bunken ni arawareru "katikavatta": shukke seikatsu niokeru jishukihan no shosō (On "katikavatta" in the Atthakathās: Aspects of Voluntary Rules in Monastic Life)'. Journal of Pali and Buddhist Studies 16: 59–69.
- Hartmann, Jens-Uwe and Klaus Wille. 2014. 'The Manuscript of the *Dīrghāgama* and the Private Collection in Virginia'. In *From Birch Bark to Digital Data: Recent Advances in Buddhist Manuscript Research*, edited by Paul Harrison and Jens-Uwe Hartmann, 137–155. Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Philosophisch-Historische Klasse, Denkschriften, 460. Wien: Verlag der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften.
- Hu-von Hinüber, Haiyan. 1994. Das Poṣadhavastu: Vorschriften für die buddhistische Beichtfeier im Vinaya der Mūlasarvāstivādins. Studien zur Indologie und Iranistik, Monographie 13. Reinbek: Wezler.
- Kieffer-Pülz, Petra. 1992. Die Sīmā: Vorschriften zur Regelung der buddhistischen Gemeindegrenze in älteren Buddhistischen Texten. Monographien zur indischen Archäologie, Kunst und Philologie, 8. Berlin: D. Reimer.
- Kitsudō, Masahiro. 1986. 'Kairitsu bukkyō toshite no jyōza bukkyō no tokushitsu'. In Gendai suriranka no jyōza bukkyō (Contemporary Buddhism in Sri Lanka), edited by Egaku Maeda, 619–659. Tokyo: Sankibō Busshorin.
- ———. 1989. 'Gendai suri ranka no butsudō to kairitsu "katikāvata" seitei no haikei (On Buddhist Teachings and Precepts in Modern Sri Lanka: The Background for Establishment of katikāvata)'. Nihon Bukkyō Gakkai Nenpō 54: 95–106.
- Ratnapala, Nandasena. 1971. The Katikāvatas: Laws of the Buddhist Order of Ceylon from the 12th Century to the 18th Century. Münchener Studien zur Sprachwissenschaft, Beiheft N. München: Kitzinger.



Silk, Jonathan A. 2008. Managing Monks: Administrative Roles in Indian Buddhist Monasticism. Oxford: Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof: oso/9780195326840.001.0001

- Schopen, Gregory. 1996. 'The Suppression of Nuns and the Ritual Murder of their Special Dead in Two Buddhist Monastic Texts'. *Journal of Indian Philosophy* 24: 563–592 = Schopen 2004: 329–359.
- ———. 2002. 'Counting the Buddha and the Local Spirits in: A Monastic Ritual of Inclusion for the Rain Retreat'. *Journal of Indian Philosophy* 30(4): 359–388. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1021174215900
- ———. 2004. Buddhist Monks and Business Matters: Still More Papers on Monastic Buddhism in India. Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press.
- Shōno, Masanori. 2009. 'Bukkyō no shukkesha ga jyunshusubeki kriyākāra (On kriyākāras)'. Journal of Indian and Buddhist Studies (Indoqaku Bukkyōgaku Kenkyū) 58(1): 354–351.
- Skilling, Peter. 2002. 'Review of Vividharatnakarandaka: Festgabe für Adelheid Mette (Indica et Tibetica, 37), edited by Christine Chojnacki, Jens-Uwe Hartmann and Volker M. Tschannerl.' Indo-Iranian Journal 45(4): 373–377. https://doi.org/10.1163/000000002124994874
- von Hinüber, Oskar. 1985. 'Die Bestimmung der Schulzugehörigkeit buddhistischer Texte nach sprachlichen Kriterien'. In *Zur Schulzugehörigkeit von Werken der Hīnayāna-Liteteratur*, Volume I, edited by Heinz Bechert, 57–75. Abhandlungen der Akademie der Wissenschaften in Göttingen, Philologisch-historische Klasse,149 Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.
- ———. 1990. 'Khandhakavatta: Loss of Text in the Pāli Vinayapiṭaka?' *Journal of Pali Text Society* 15: 127–138.
- . 1995. 'Buddhist Law According to the Theravāda-Vinaya: A Survey of Theory and Practice'. *Journal of the International Association of Buddhist Studies* 18(1): 7–45.
- 1999. Das Pātimokkhasutta der Theravadin: Gestalt und seine Entstehungsgeschichte (Studien zur Literatur des Theravāda-Buddhismus, II), Akademie der Wissenschaften und der Literatur Mainz, Abhandlungen der geistes- und sozialwissenschaftlichen Klasse, Jahrgang 1999, Nr. 6, Stuttgart: F. Steiner.
- 2012. 'Buddhistische Mönche als Verwalter ihrer Klöster: Die Entstehung des Begriffs "vārika" in der Tradition der Theravādins'. Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft 162(2): 373–389.
- Yamagiwa, Nobuyuki. 2002. 'Bikutati ga sadameta ritsukitei no yukue: upasena no innentan monogatari no seiritsu wo megutte (A Vinaya Rule Established by Monks: With Special Reference to the Story of Upasena)'. Journal of Indian and Buddhist Studies (Indogaku Bukkyōgaku Kenkyū) 51(1): 358–353. https://doi.org/10.4259/ibk.51.358
- Yao, Fumi. 2007. "Konpon setsuissaiu bu" toiu meishō nitsuite (On the Name "Mūlasarvāstivādin")'. Indogaku Bukkyōgaku Kenkyū (Journal of Indian and Buddhist Studies) 55(2): 897–894. https://doi.org/10.4259/ibk.55.897
- Wynne, Alexander. 2008. 'On the Sarvāstivādins and Mūlasarvāstivādins'. The Indian International Journal of Buddhist Studies 9: 243–266.